

Appendix “A”

Item	Questions/Queries/ Clarifications	Response
Raised during Pre-Bid		
1	<p>Ms. Shane Gonzalo of Philippine Run Hui Trading, suggested to review the price of the item in lot 3 because of low costing.</p> <p>Ms. Shane shared that price of steel cabinets has increased this year compared last 2018. She also shared that they are experiencing the same thing just like Mr. Zulueta. She mentioned that they have project with DepEd for 2021 which were already inspected last October but not yet picked up by 3PL.</p> <p>She suggested to increase the budget for steel cabinet or lower the quantity by 50-60%.</p>	<p>The price now is higher than the previous price and is reasonable cost for these cabinets, looking back at 2019 and 2021. There was no bidder that stated previously that the cost is low.</p>
2	<p>Ms. Richelle Bautista of Mar. Cat Marketing Corporation, clarified the presented ABC on the power point versus the ABC stipulated in the PBD.</p>	<p>Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2</p>
3	<p>Ms. Shiela Mae S. Gale of Asiaprime Commodities Corp. asked if they can use manipulative toys contract for lot 8 as SLCC?</p> <p>She also asked if they can use steel productions related contract as SLCC for Lot 3 and not specifically Supply and Delivery of Science and/or Mathematics Equipment.</p>	<p>There are no manipulative toys in this procurement. SLCC is retained. Similarity to the requirement will be checked in detail during post-qualification.</p>
4	<p>Mr. Martin Maayo of Scientific Product Co., suggested to have the queries by categories.</p> <p>He asked regarding the BDS specifically section 20.2 (c). He stated that there are controlled and hazardous items in the project that require licenses/permits from concerned government agencies, however it was not specified in technical specifications.</p> <p>He stated that the inspection team during post-qualification might not be aware of the required permits needed for hazardous and controlled substances.</p>	<p>Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2</p>

	<p>He then suggested to have the required permits/ licenses for hazardous and controlled items be submitted during bid-opening.</p>	
5	<p>Mr. Enero Magtoto of Metro Mobilia Corp. asked how many days/weeks will DepED pick-up the goods after the inspection(s) from the bidder's warehouse.</p>	<p>Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2.</p>
6	<p>Mr. Maayo asked what is the basis for the completion of delivery period in the contract starting from the receipt of NTP.</p> <p>He also asked what document proves that complete delivery of goods in the supplier's warehouse has been made and that requirements as per contract have been completed.</p>	<p>Signed Inspection and Acceptance Report (IAR).</p>
7	<p>Mr. Maayo asked what is the most appropriate document that shows complete delivery of contract, given the situation raised by Mr. Zulueta pertaining to the delays of inspections after submitting request for inspection by the supplier.</p> <p>He asked if they can use the letter of request for inspection as a basis that they have completely delivered the contract, since they can only request inspection once goods have been delivered in their warehouse, and it is also stated in the request, the percentage of goods which are already in their warehouse.</p>	<p>The date of notification from bidders means that they are ready for inspection.</p> <p>DepEd considers tolling of periods. Once the bidder submits their request for inspection and DepEd receives the request, counting of days against the bidder is automatically stopped.</p> <p>It is DepEd's responsibility to provide inspector and bidders can't be penalized for that, and there will be no liquidated damages if the date of contract lapses and DepEd is at fault for not immediately deploying inspectors.</p> <p>DepEd will come up with an official issuance regarding this matter.</p>
8	<p>Mr. Maayo reiterated what document can be a proof that contract has been completed and there is a 100 percent delivery of requirement meeting the contract.</p> <p>He reiterated the situation that happened to Mr. Zulueta about delays of the inspection even at an early notice. He added that because IAR can only be accomplished after actual inspection, maybe their notice could</p>	<p>It will be unfair to DepEd if the document to consider that the project is completed is a mere request letter for inspection. There is a tolling of period thus bidders won't incur liquated damages if they are not at fault. The Accounting Division will not accept the notice of inspection for billing.</p>

	<p>serve as a proof of their completed and delivered project.</p> <p>He then commented to clarify the tolling system.</p>	<p>There will be no liquidated damages, so long as the product is already in the bidder's warehouse prior to the end of the contract. It will only be computed if items are not yet in the warehouse even after the delivery period.</p>
9	<p>Mr. Magtoto asked if the tagging of QR codes be per item or per box and if the tagging will be done during inspection in order to save time.</p>	<p>DepEd will tag the big items especially the items that are non-expendable, and expendable items higher than 15 thousand. For bigger items, it will be tagged one by one.</p> <p>As to the time of tagging, it will be during inspection, there might be some that will have different schedules within the pick-up period of the 3PL. The tagging generally will be done after the inspection and acceptance of the goods.</p>
10	<p>Mr. Maayo clarified what lot analysis means. He also clarified if they can submit that using separate sheet.</p>	<p>Chemicals with bigger packaging have assay. For smaller chemicals, the EU may manifest to forgo chemical assay so long as there is complete labeling of chemicals. Chemical assay can be submitted using separate sheet, for smaller chemicals.</p>
11	<p>Mr. Magtoto asked how many days/weeks the supplier will be paid after the submission of complete documents.</p>	<p>The moment the goods are inspected and accepted, even though it was not yet picked-up by the 3PL, the supplier can immediately process its billing so long as the documents are complete and also the Inspection and Acceptance Reports (IARs) are signed by the inspection team and submitted to the Contract Management Division (CMD). It might take approximately two (2) weeks after submission of complete documents. There is no need to wait for the pick-up of the items in the warehouse for billing.</p> <p>The method and conditions of payment to be made to the Supplier through the Government disbursement procedure within sixty (60) days after the date of acceptance of Goods at the project</p>

		Site and upon submission of documents under this contract.
12	Mr. Maayo raised about the delivery period of 110 days on the market items. He commented that these items are like customized and off the shelves due to the specifications so the manufacturer has to create the molds and dies to meet the specifications of DepEd. He shared that shipments also take time. He requested DepEd to study the delivery period for market items.	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
13	Mr. Tareeq Radjaie of Nikka Trading asked about the GPPB Resolution No.15 on the automatic suspension of PhilGEPS Platinum Registration if there are expired documents uploaded. He shared that their Mayor's Permit expired last December 31, 2021.	For the purposes of submission of documents during bid opening, the BAC only requires PhilGEPS Certificate of Platinum Registration; if there are documents that are no longer valid during the time of bid opening, it shall be required by the BAC during post-qualification, in case the bidder is declared to have the Lowest Calculated Bid. The automatic suspension only applies with PhilGEPS but not with the Procuring Entity.
14	Mr. Frederick A. Juan of Metro Mobilia Corp. asked if the ownership of goods already transferred to DepEd once IAR has been accomplished and signed. Will the insurance be shouldered by the bidder or DepEd in an event that DepEd asked for extension in warehousing the items?	Under the SCC, risk and title will pass from the supplier to the DepEd upon receipt and final acceptance of the goods at the supplier's warehouse. However, the supplier shall have a residual obligation of safekeeping the goods in its warehouse until the 3PL hauls the goods and issues a Transfer Manifest Inbound.
15	Mr. Jonathan Zulueta of Nikka Trading added to the query of Mr. Enero; he shared that they have an ongoing project with DepEd in SME (7 lots) and TVL (4 lots.) He shared that they requested inspection for TVL as early as Oct 26, 2021 and for SME on Nov 9, 2021. In their ongoing TVL, DepEd Inspection Team arrived only once, and that was on Nov 23, 2021. There are still remaining lots to be inspected, and the inspected and accepted goods are yet to be picked-up from their warehouse by the 3PL. He added that the contract lapsed last	Bidders will request inspection and CMD will do the scheduling. Regarding the inspection, suppliers must be reminded that there is a standard operating procedure for inspection of goods, and that the suppliers' preferred date/s for inspection cannot be adopted since the deployment of inspectors is subject to their availability as they are also performing regular functions in their respective offices. CMD Inspection Unit takes note of partial inspections and ensures

	<p>December 24, 2021, and up until now, items are not yet picked-up, inspection is not yet done and there is no contact made from 3PL.</p> <p>In their ongoing SME, there were 3 inspections made and still ongoing, however, there is no coordination yet for logistics and tagging, and the due date was last January 04, 2022.</p> <p>They also filed payment for lots 4&6 but DepEd Accounting Division is requesting for additional documents which are not included in the provided checklist.</p>	<p>that they are scheduled for continuation. However, as of January 7, 2022, all inspection activities are suspended until further notice in view of the reported increase in the number of DepEd CO employees infected with the virus (Memorandum OASPA-CM-0122-065).</p>
16	<p>Mr. Zulueta stated that they are incurring big cost of money per month in hiring additional security and people to look after the items, and they are paying for insurance and interest due to line up credit. He asked to whom will they coordinate for the pick-up of the items and added that they do not have communication with the 3PL.</p> <p>Mr. Tareeq stated that liquidated damages are least of their concerns, however, delays in inspection and pick-up cost money to the suppliers for the interest in the banks, hiring more people, building up tents and the likes since their warehouse is now on full capacity.</p>	<p>Hauling of items will simultaneously be done after the items are properly tagged.</p>
17	<p>Mr. Zulueta asked in relation to inspection, that in their ongoing, there is no sampling plan and 5% AQL included in the annexes. He added that DepEd inspectorate team is about 4-5 people and with an average of 1-2 days for inspections, the time and motion is not enough even though they hired additional people to assist DepEd in inspection and repacking. He suggested to have this process done during pre-production, before mass-producing the items.</p>	<p>The latest policy on inspection of goods - DepEd Order No. 041, s. 2021 - is currently being implemented in all procurement projects of DepEd. Under this policy, there are three post-production inspection of goods, the first being pre-delivery inspection. This is to ensure that the goods to be delivered to the recipient units are in accordance with the approved samples and technical specifications required by the end-user unit.</p>
18	<p>Mr. Zulueta clarified why this 5% AQL is being implemented with their ongoing but was not included in the annexes provided. He asked if they would be penalized since inspection is not yet done in their ongoing, and contract lapsed last Dec 24, 2021.</p>	<p>The use of a sampling plan in inspecting goods that require inspection by sampling, considering the nature and volume of the items, is prescribed under DO 041, s. 2021, hence, mandatory. The bidders will not</p>

		be penalized so long as the cause of the delay is DepED.
19	Mr. Zulueta commented that the budget for their ongoing as discussed with OASPA will be until March 2022 only.	The validity of FY 2021 budget has been extended through RA 11640. Since they already have the contract, they will still be paid according to DBM resolution in an event that fund was not extended.
20	<p>Mr. Zulueta raised that their ongoing was bid out last year during peak of the pandemic and based on the approved samples submitted during post-qualification, some are being manufactured, but some are already obsolete due to the closure of company.</p> <p>He then commented that inspection has no tolerance that is really hard to comply with. He suggested to be more humane during inspection, and the inspection be conducted during pre-production to meet all requirements or done virtually.</p> <p>Mr. Radjaie stated that, given the situation, DepEd hopefully considers the deviation of samples due to the duration from submission of samples and delivery. He assured that the items to be delivered will still meet the minimum requirements.</p>	<p>Approved samples are the final references during pre-delivery inspection since those are the samples approved by the Technical Working Group (TWG) during the sample evaluation in post-qualification. That is why even in the previous bidding documents there is a statement that does not allow change of the approved samples which is not part of the new bidding docs being presented by the BAC Secretariat because it is assumed that the bidders have the quantity in case they will win during the bidding, the samples being offered by the bidders.</p> <p>That is also why the inspectors won't proceed with the inspection if there will be deviation; if that will happen, we will go back to the process where new items/substitute items will be re-evaluated by the TWG with respect to the technical specifications and will be subjected to PASS or FAIL system, then we will have a new sample once it will pass the 2nd re-evaluation.</p> <p>The EU already requested for new samples from Nikka Trading.</p>
Raised Thru Letter		
1	<p>Eduresources Publishing Inc., wrote the following:</p> <p>1. Delivery Period – May we please request that the delivery period be increased to 240 calendar days? Historically speaking, SME 2018 had a CCD of 210 days, SME 2019 240 days and SME 2021 – 144 CD. Understandably, the 2021 project had a short delivery period due to the</p>	<p>Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2</p> <p>1.1 Market items are on-the-shelf items, in fact the technical specifications were derived from the market survey.</p> <p>1.2 Due to the higher volume requirement of the DepEd, there is a possibility of stock shortage. Hence, there is a</p>

	<p>expiring national budget. However, since the 2022 project has a whole year to be implemented, may we ask for 240 days delivery period? Following are the reasons why we ask for such accommodation:</p> <p>1.1 Market items are not really off-the-rack items that we can easily supply. These items are manufactured according to the specifications of DepEd – BLR.</p> <p>1.2 Market items quantities are not readily available on-hand. Manufacturers do not keep quantities this big in their inventories.</p> <p>1.3 Transportation is still a problem as vessels are not plying their regular routes yet due to the pandemic. As we experienced during the implementation of our 2021 SME project, sea freight which normally takes 20-25 days from our suppliers from India, arrived after 35-45 days. Transshipment is also a problem as they need to fill the vessel before continuing their journey thus delaying the delivery further. (Attached is an actual transport request from our supplier showing the date they requested for containers, vessel booking, estimated sailing, actual sailing, estimated arrival and actual arrival).</p> <p>1.4 Our suppliers also reported the lack of empty containers to ship their items.</p> <p>1.5 Clearing our items from the Bureau of Customs take time due to the skeletal workforce still being enforced.</p> <p>1.6 Banks implement a 12-noon deadline for payment of customs duties still due to alternative work arrangements.</p>	<p>need to manufacture additional quantity utilizing the existing standard of the manufacturer. As per experience in 2021, there were bidders awarded with contract even the contract period is only 144 CD.</p> <p>1.3-1.6 The prospective bidders should properly plan and strategize their activities related to the project under new normal.</p>
2	<p>Third Party Logistics – while we welcome the convenience of not having to deliver the items to the schools ourselves, we find the third-party logistics (3PL) unreliable in terms of item pick up from our warehouses. Based on our experience from our printing project, we are housing the inspected and accepted</p>	<p>As per design under DO 48 (DO in DILMS), the goods are hauled at the supplier's warehouse after it has been tagged. Hence, delivery fee may not be included in the ABC for the items.</p>

	<p>materials in our warehouses for over six (6) months already. We have to lease a separate warehouse for these items due to the delay in pick-up and our SME items started arriving already. So far, only 19.24% of Lot 1 and 38.93% of Lot 2 have been collected.</p> <p>May we suggest that a delivery fee to the DepEd warehouse be included in the ABC for the items? That way, we can move the items out of our warehouses as soon as they are inspected and accepted.</p>	
3	<p>May I have a copy of the updated ABC and quantities per lot for this project please? The figures presented during the pre-bid conference are not the same as the published and the attached docs.</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
4	<p>MAR.CAT Marketing Corporation wrote the following:</p> <p>Lot 8, Item 9 Geoboard, 5x 5</p> <p>Query: Technical Specifications Number 8: Approximate Height of the Base: 25mm</p> <p>Is it height of the base or did you mean "Edging Height"?</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
5	<p>Lot 8, Item 13 Model, Basic 3D Geometrical Collapsible</p> <p>Query: The specs have very minimal tolerance, making it a customized item or pointing to a specific model or brand. May we request for more size tolerance as $\pm 0.02\text{cm}$ is a super minimal range? A deviation of at least $\pm 0.5\text{cm}$ should be acceptable.</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
6	<p>Lot 8, Item 14 Model, Basic 3D Geometrical Solids</p> <p>Query: The specs have very minimal tolerance, making it a customized item or pointing to a specific model or brand. May we request for more size</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2

	tolerance as $\pm 0.02\text{cm}$ is a super minimal range? A deviation of at least $\pm 0.5\text{cm}$ should be acceptable.	
7	<p>Lot 8, Item 17 Plastic Colored Counter 1-inch diameter. 200pcs/set</p> <p>Query: Technical Specs are conflicting which states a minimum of 60 pieces per set (double-sided color). We would like to have a clarification if this is 200 pcs/set as written in the item name or is it any quantity more than 60 pcs/set?</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
8	<p>Lot 13, Item 1 to 6 (MODELS: MOLECULAR GEOMETRY)</p> <p>Query: All measurement in this lot has no tolerance which makes it customized to a particular model or brand. And not common to the market. May we request for a practical tolerance range?</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
9	<p>Lot 13, Item 1 Model, Atomic Orbital 82 pc</p> <p>Query: Technical Specifications: c) 19 pc Pink atomic orbital parts f) 19 pc Pink atomic orbital parts</p> <p>Technical Specifications: d) two (2) pc White octahedral atom parts o) two (2) pc White octahedral atom parts</p> <p>Are items c and f are the same parts? Or different? As it has been written in the specification twice. Or is it a typo error? Same question for items d and o.</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
10	<p>Lot 13, Item 2 Model, Biochemistry Molecular, (262 atom parts)</p> <p>Query: On item ii), it requires 34 nitrogen atoms. But on the table below there</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2

	are 2 pcs carbon. Which makes it 36. Is this item typo error as the carbon is mixed in the nitrogen part of the table? Shall we disregard this?	
11	<p>Lot 13, Item 3 Model, Crystal Structures Set</p> <p>Query: On item iii), Placed in two (2) separate Ziploc plastic bag. Ziploc is a brand. Can we use a generic resealable plastic bag?</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
12	<p>Lot 13, Item 4 Model, Molecular Inorganic/Organic</p> <p>Query: The item l and m are redundant. Can we submit l) 6holes metal 2 pcs?</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
13	<p>Lot 14, Item 14 Digital Geiger-Muller Counter: MAIN UNIT</p> <p>Query: Technical Specifications Number 2: Manufacturer should be accredited by their respective Nuclear Regulatory Institute/Agency and shall provide calibration certificate for each item issued by the Nuclear Institute/Agency of its country of origin. Reviewing the previous specifications of the same item from SME FY 2021 project, we found out that this was not included. May we request removal of this requirement?</p>	<p>Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2</p> <p>The government is a regulatory body to protect the quality of the product. In the Philippines, the PNRI is the sole agency of the government mandated to advance and regulate the safe and peaceful applications of nuclear science and technology same as true with the equivalent Nuclear Regulatory institute in the other countries. Hence, this provision should be retained to ensure the quality of the equipment.</p> <p>This will not be tedious for DepEd to verify since the supplier will be required to submit documents to comply with the requirements that will be validated online.</p>
14	<p>Scientific Product Company wrote the following:</p> <p>Attached are the technical specifications for the Geiger Counter under the two above referred DepEd tenders where key provisions were highlighted. In the 2021 technical specifications, among the salient provisions was:</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2

The unit "should be calibrated and certified by Philippine Nuclear Research Institute (PNRI)".

These provisions conform with the existing Philippine laws and PNRI mandate which we quote as follow.

"to undertake research and development activities in the peaceful uses of nuclear energy, to institute regulations on the said uses and to carry out the enforcement of said regulations to protect the health and safety of radiation workers and the general public."

Also, it is worthwhile to mention the following PNRI services.

1. Issuance of new/amendment of Radioactive material license
2. Calibration of Radioactive monitoring instruments

In this 2022 tender, the above stated provision was replaced by the following.

1. Manufacturer should be accredited by their respective Nuclear Regulatory Institute/Agency and shall provide calibration certificate for each item issued by the Nuclear Institute/Agency of its country of origin.

2. The offered brand of the item must be an international brand with at least 5 years presence at the local market and global presence in the USA, Europe, or Japan market.

Regarding provision 1, accreditation of equipment/instrument manufacturers is not among the roles/services of a government regulatory body, be it in the Philippines or elsewhere.

Regarding provision 2, it would be quite difficult and tedious for DepEd to verify the authenticity of any claim

	<p>by a bidder/manufacturer that it meets these requirements.</p> <p>We believe that what is important is the functionality and performance of the instrument as ascertained by PNRI, the local regulatory body through its assessment and calibration certificate.</p> <p>In view of the abovementioned observations, we hereby respectfully request that the final technical specifications of Geiger Counter be based more on the instrument's functionality and performance.</p>	
15	<p>LOT 14:</p> <p>Item No. 18 – Flask, Florence, Glass, Round Bottom, 500 ml</p> <p>But on the Schedule of Requirements stated was 250ml</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
16	<p>Item No. 48 - Tuning Fork set</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8 pieces tuning forks: C=256 Hz. D=288 Hz. E=320 Hz. F=341 Hz. G=384 Hz. A=426 Hz. B=480 Hz. C=512 Hz. • Measured sound output frequency should match with frequency stamped on forks. Frequency measurements should be within 1% of stamped value on each tuning fork. <p>When the 1% tolerance is applied for Tuning Fork Lower C, the acceptable frequency measurement would be +/- 2.56 Hz. or between 253.44 Hz and 258.56 Hz. This means a tolerance range of 5.12 Hz.</p> <p>However, if we apply the 1% tolerance for Tuning Fork Upper C, the acceptable frequency reading would be +/- 5.12 Hz. or between 506.88 Hz. and 517.12 Hz. This</p>	<p>1. The tolerance of ±1% is based on the actual sample submitted in the previous projects. Most of the tuning forks evaluated deviated by 1% from the stamped.</p> <p>2. The tuning forks can be used to determine the speed of sound using the formula: Speed of sound = wavelength x frequency;</p> <p>The calculated speed of sound is dependent on the frequency of the sound source (in this case tuning fork). The more accurate the tuning fork the more accurate the result of the experiment.</p> <p>3. Hence, retain the tolerance of +/- 1% in the technical specification.</p>

	<p>means a tolerance range of 10.24 Hz.</p> <p>These are just our observations from a layman's point of view.</p> <p>Maybe the tolerance +/- 2.56 Hz for Tuning Fork Lower C should be studied further and if it could be applied as practical tolerance for all the tuning forks in the set.</p>	
17	<p>Optimusblaze Inc., wrote the following:</p> <p>Approved Budget for the Contract: Request for Clarification Discrepancy between Prebid presentation vs. bid docs</p>	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
18	<p>Sample of goods need to be delivered to BLR:</p> <p>Request for Clarification whereas during pre-bid mentioned that it will be tested in the main office</p>	All samples for market items (lot 4-14) will be tested/evaluated at DepEd Central office during post-qualification. Only goods under the category of mass production (Lot 1-3) will be tested/evaluated at BLR Cebu upon receipt of NTP except for storage cabinets.
19	<p>110 Days Delivery for Lot Nos. 9, 10, 12 & 13:</p> <p>Request to extend delivery days to 350 days due to the following factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETA of imported goods pre-pandemic from some supplier countries is 30 days; During pandemic, ETA falls to 45-75 days • Aftermath effect of outbreak in every supplier's country affected by virus (ex: India and China) which includes local protocol and guidelines thus affecting production and delivery January 7, 2022 8:56 p.m. 2022-01-i0046 • Goods are not market items but rather customized items that are needed to be mass produced in compliant to specific technical specifications. 	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2
20	Dimensions of items on Lot Nos. 9, 10, 12 & 13:	Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2

	Request to have tolerance on each items or accepted allowance since goods shall be mass produced.	
21	<p>Delivery and Documents via third party logistics</p> <p>The Supplier shall distribute the properly accomplished delivery documents to the concerned offices and individual such as: DepED Central Offices (accounting/COA-complete set original; AMD – complete set; BLR – complete set Supplier - complete set and Individual; Third party monitor – IAR only</p> <p>Nika Trading inquired regarding the TPL process and who will be handling the additional warehouse rent and insurances if the TPL failed to pick up the approved goods.</p> <p>Request for Clarification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If handing of all documents is under TPL • Who will be the authorized signatories for all documents • Set timeline from the request of pick-up; to returned of received and signed documents from schools • If the TPL fails to pick up the approved goods who will shoulder the unnecessary expenses 	Supplier's Warehouse Rental and Insurance will be handled by the Supplier and not the 3PL. Expenses will be handled by the Supplier until the goods are hauled by the 3PL.
22	<p>Payment procedure on purchased bid docs vs. pre-bid presentation file</p> <p><u>Purchased Bid Docs</u> A minimum of 25% of the contract price shall be paid to the Supplier upon a minimum of 25% delivery of the requirement duly inspected and accepted</p> <p><u>Prebid Presentation File</u> Supplier may submit a request for payment based on the monthly progress reports which shall be attached to the progress billing</p> <p>Request for Clarification: Statement regarding payment procedure on purchased bid docs does not match on the file presented in the pre-bid.</p>	A minimum of 25% of the contract price refers to the INITIAL PROGRESS PAYMENT.

23	<p>Asiaprime Commodities Corp., wrote the following:</p> <p>Can we use completed projects related to items made of metal/steel as a single largest completed contract?</p>	<p>For the purpose of the track-record requirement, contracts similar to the project shall refer to Supply and Delivery of Science and/or Mathematics Equipment.</p>
24	<p>Are there given technical specs with drawings for lots 5-14?</p>	<p>Can provide technical specification but without drawing</p>
25	<p>Are we going to submit e-copy of the bid proposal?</p>	<p>Electronic Submission of bid for this procurement is not allowed. No USB/Flash drive required, thus, submission of e-copies of proposal are not required.</p>
26	<p>4. Are we required to submit samples during opening?</p>	<p>No. Submission of samples for Mass Produced Items shall take effect after the receipt of NTP.</p> <p>Submission of samples for Market Items is required during Post-Qualification Period.</p>
27	<p>Nikka Trading raised the following concerns:</p> <p>Technical Specifications of certain items in Lot 5: GLASSWARES AND LAB TOOLS, Lot 6: SCIENCE DEVICES, INSTRUMENTS, AND MEASURING TOOLS and Lot 14: FORCE, MOTION, AND ENERGY KITS</p> <p>Lot 5: Items 6,7,9,16,17,24,25,26,27,29,30 and 33- Packaging Lot 6: Items 9- Dimension and 21- Packaging Lot 14: Items 10,12,21& 24- Dimension, 15- Packaging</p>	<p>Refer to this Bid Bulletin No. 2</p>
28	<p>Moreover, we wish to respectfully request the Honorable Committee to re-schedule or move the deadline for submission and opening of bids for fifteen (15) days in view of the increasing number of COVID cases due to Omicron variants. Some of the government agencies where the required documents can be secured have limited operation or under lockdown for some of their divisions.</p>	<p>Refer to Bid Bulletin No. 1.</p>